

OFFICIAL GAZETTE

GOVERNMENT OF GOA



Note:- There are Five Extraordinary issues to the Official Gazette Sr. II, No. 48 dated 1-3-2001 namely:

- 1) Extraordinary dated 1-3-2001 from pages 1309 to 1310 regarding Notifications from Department of Food & Civil Supplies and Department of Home respectively.
- 2) Extraordinary No. 2 dated 2-3-2001 from pages 1311 to 1312 regarding Notification from Department of Elections (Goa State Election Commission).
- 3) Extraordinary No. 3 dated 2-3-2001 from pages 1313 to 1314 regarding Notification from Department of Revenue.
- 4) Extraordinary No. 4 dated 5-3-2001 from pages 1315 to 1316 regarding Notification from Department of Information (Directorate of Information Technology).
- 5) Extraordinary No. 5 dated 5-3-2001 from pages 1317 to 1318 regarding Order from Department of Housing.

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Department of Education, Art & Culture

Directorate of Technical Education

Order

No. 16/91/97-DTE/Part file II/624

Sanction of the Government is conveyed to Shri S. B. Sheldarkar, Asstt. Professor in Civil Engineering of Goa College of Engineering to officiate as Professor of Civil Engineering in addition to his own duties as Asstt. Professor in Civil Engineering with immediate effect until further orders.

He shall be entitled for 10% charge allowance under F. R. 49 (iii).

The pay fixation of Shri S. B. Sheldarkar shall be as under.

Shri S. B. Sheldarkar is presently drawing a basic pay of Rs. 15375 w.e.f. 1-1-2001 in the pay scale of Rs. 12000-375-18000 and 10% charge allowance which works out to be Rs. 1538/-.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. K. Sharma, Director of Technical Education & Addl. Secretary.

Porvorim, 20th February, 2001.

Order

No. 16-1-88-EDN/638

Read: Letter No. 28-01-85-TRTC-DIM Vol. III 02/02/01 from Director of Industries and Mines.

Consequent upon Government approval conveyed vide letter read at preamble Shri A. D. Telang Asstt. Professor in Mechanical Engineering, GEC, Farmagudi., is hereby appointed as General Manager of Tool Room & Training Centre, on deputation w.e.f. the date of joining i.e.w.e.f. 03-02-2001 initially for a period of one year.

The terms and conditions of deputation shall be governed as per Govt. instruction issued from time to time.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. K. Sharma, Director of Technical Education & Addl. Secretary.

Porvorim, 22nd February, 2001.

Department of Finance

Directorate of Accounts

Order

No. DA/Admn/45-3/2000-01/192/TR-3874

Consequent upon the refusal of the implementation of the Govt. Order No. DA/Admn/45-3/2000-01/TR-3587/168 dated 30-1-2001, by Goa University, (Taleigao Plateau) the posting of Shri V. B. Lotlikar, Asstt. Accounts Officer, Goa University (on deputation) is withdrawn with effect from 1-3-2001, so as to enable him to join in the Directorate of Technical Education, Porvorim Goa to comply with the above cited Government Order.

The deputation period of Shri Lotlikar stands extended upto 28-2-2001. Shri Lotlikar should be relieved accordingly to report to Directorate of Technical Education, Porvorim-Goa.

As a result, Shri Y. S. Chandeikar, who was awaiting posting to the Goa University on his promotion to the post of Asstt. Accounts Officer is posted in the Directorate of

Accounts, Panaji against the vacancy caused due to retirement of Shri Anand B. Gaonkar, A. A. O. on superannuation w.e.f. 3-2-2001.

The Pay and Allowances of Shri Chandeikar are to be debited to the Budget Head "2054 -Treasury & Accounts Administration, 095 - Directorate of Accounts & Treasuries, 01 - Directorate of Accounts (N. P.) w.e.f. the above date.

Other contents of the Order remain unchanged.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

G. K. Warriar, Director of Accounts & Ex-Officio
Jt. Secretary.

Panaji, 27th February, 2001.

◆◆◆◆◆
Department of General Administration

—
Notification

No. 2/1/2000-GAD-H

Government is pleased to declare Saturday the 10th March, 2001 (Phalguna 19, 1922) as a Public Holiday in the State of Goa on account of Holi instead of 9-3-2001 (Phalguna 18, 1922) declared earlier vide Notification No. 2/1/2000/GAD-H dated 14-11-2000.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Vasant P. Bodnekar, Under Secretary (GA).

Panaji 7th March, 2001.

◆◆◆◆◆
Department of Mines

Directorate of Industries and Mines

—
Order

No. 96/256/88-Mines/2875

(In the matter of renewal of Mining lease of the applicants M/s Shantilal Khushaldas & Bros. Pvt. Ltd. by application dated 21-11-1988).

M/s Shantilal Khushaldas & Bros. Pvt. Ltd. of Margao Goa were holders of erstwhile mining concession named "Bamanvaddo e Outros" for iron and manganese ore granted under title of concession No. 90 dated 7-11-1952 over an area of 40.03 Ha of land situated in Latambarcem village of Bicholim taluka. Consequent upon the enactment of the Goa, Daman and Diu Mining Concessions (Abolition and Declaration as Mining Leases) Act, 1987 the above mining concession stood abolished and declared as mining lease under Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957 and the rules made thereunder.

On 22-11-88 M/s Shantilal Khushaldas & Bros. Pvt. Ltd. of Margao Goa (hereinafter referred to as the

applicants) applied for renewal of mining lease for a further period. By a notice bearing No. 96/256/88-Mines/276 dated 7-02-89 under Rule 26(3) of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 the applicants were called upon to furnish the approved mining plan. As there was failure on the part of the applicants to submit the approved mining plan within stipulated period, the application for renewal of the above mining lease was rejected by the Government by an order bearing No. 5/18/89-ILD dated 25-4-1990. Being aggrieved by the order of rejection, the applicants filed a revision application before the Central Government and by a common order dated 1-6-93, the Central Government set aside the order of rejection with a direction to the State Government to reconsider the renewal application for disposal on merits and in accordance with law. The applicants by a notice bearing No. 96/256/88-Mines/6125 dated 14-9-98 were called upon to furnish the following documents within sixty days:-

1. Valid clearance certificate in terms of Rule 22(3)(d) of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960.
2. Statement of consent towards surface rights in terms of Rule 22(3)(h) of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960.
3. Copy of plan of existing deemed mining lease.

As none of the above documents were submitted, a notice bearing No. 96/256/88-Mines/2584 dated 19-1-01 was issued to the applicants fixing the date for personal hearing as 16-2-01 which notice was duly received by the applicants on 24-1-01. The applicants however failed to attend the personal hearing. I have therefore no other alternative but to decide the case on merits.

I have gone through the records of the case. It is seen that the application for renewal of mining lease was submitted in the year 1988 namely about 13 years back and the applicants have still not submitted all the documents along with form J as required under rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. As mentioned earlier, a notice under rule 26(3) of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 was issued on 14-9-98 giving the applicants a time of sixty days to produce all the documents. Besides, it is also seen from the available record that the mining lease in question is not in operation for the last 26 years. A notice bearing No. 96/256/88-Mines/2124 dated 25-10-2000 was issued to the applicants to show cause within a period of 15 days as to why the above mining lease should not be declared as lapsed under section 4A(4) of the Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957 read with Rule 28 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1980. The applicants did not bother to furnish any reply.

Finally, a notice for personal hearing was also issued and the hearing was fixed on 16-02-01 before the undersigned which the applicants did not attend. From all above, it is quite clear that sufficient opportunities have already been given by the Department to the applicants to submit all the documents as required under rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 for renewal of application of mining lease and the applicants have failed to submit the same. Under these circumstances, I have no other alternative but to reject the application.

Now, therefore I, Shri J. B. Singh, Director of Industries and Mines in exercise of powers conferred upon me under sub rule (1) of rule 26 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 in pursuance of Notification No. 5/80/89-Mines dt. 22-12-2000 hereby reject the application of M/s Shantilal Khushaldas & Bros. Pvt. Ltd. for renewal of mining lease.

J. B. Singh, Director of Industries and Mines.

Panaji, 16th February, 2001.

Order

No. 96/258/88-Mines/2888

(In the matter of renewal of Mining lease of the applicants M/s Shantilal Khushaldas & Bros. Pvt. Ltd. by application dated 21-11-1988).

M/s Shantilal Khushaldas & Bros. Pvt. Ltd. of Margao Goa were holders of erstwhile mining concession named "Cuntimol Buido" for iron and manganese ore granted under Title of concession No. 60 dated 26-6-1953 over an area of 96.2780 Ha of land situated in Molcornem village of Quepem taluka. Consequent upon the enactment of the Goa, Daman and Diu Mining Concessions (Abolition and Declaration as Mining Leases) Act, 1987 the above mining concession stood abolished and declared as mining lease under Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957 and the rules made thereunder.

On 22-11-88 M/s Shantilal Khushaldas & Bros. Pvt. Ltd. of Margao Goa (hereinafter referred to as the applicants) applied for renewal of mining lease for a further period. By a notice bearing No. 96/258/88-Mines/289 dated 7-02-89 under Rule 26(3) of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 the applicants were called upon to furnish the approved mining plan. As there was failure on the part of the applicants to submit the approved mining plan, the application for renewal of the above mining lease was rejected by the Government by an Order bearing No. 5/18/89-ILD dated 25-4-1990. Being aggrieved by the Order of rejection, the applicants filed a revision application before the Central Government and by a common Order dated 16-6-93, the Central Government set aside the Order of rejection with a direction to the State Government to grant one more opportunity to the applicants to submit the approved mining plan within a period of six months as prayed by the applicants before the revisional authority.

The applicants by a notice bearing No. 96/258/88-Mines/6123 dated 14-9-98 were called upon to furnish the following documents.

1. Valid clearance certificate in terms of Rule 22(3)(d) of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960.
2. Statement of consent towards surface rights in terms of Rule 22(3)(h) of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960.
3. Copy of approved mining plan.
4. Copy of plan of existing deemed mining lease.
5. Copy of cadastral survey plan superimposed on deemed lease.
6. Record of rights in Form I and XIV.

As none of the above documents were submitted, a notice bearing No. 96/258/88-Mines/2585 dated 19-1-2001 was issued to the applicants fixing the date for personal hearing as 16-2-01 which notice was duly received by the applicants on 24-1-01. The applicants however failed to attend the hearing. I have therefore no other alternative but to proceed further in the matter.

I have gone through the records of the case. It is seen that the application for renewal of mining lease was submitted in the year 1988 namely about 13 years back without proper documents as required under rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. The application for renewal of mining lease was rejected earlier by the Government vide Order dated 25-4-90 which was set aside by the Central Government in the revision application filed by the applicants. While setting aside the impugned order of the Government, it was expressly made clear by the revisional authority to submit the approved mining plan within a period of six months from the date of its order dated 16-6-93. Subsequent to the passing of the order by the revisional authority, around 8 years have passed and regrettably not only the mining plan but also other documents which are required under rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 have not been submitted. A statutory notice as required under rule 26(3) of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 was issued to the applicants on 14-9-98 and despite this notice the applicants did not bother to take any effective steps to supply the omissions. Furthermore, a notice for personal hearing was issued on 19-1-2001 which was received by the applicants on 24-1-2001 and this time also there has been no action on part of the applicants to comply with the statutory requirements for the purpose of renewal of mining lease. In the circumstances mentioned above, it is abundantly clear that sufficient opportunities were given to the applicants to submit all the documents as required under rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. Since the applicants have failed to produce the documents inspite of statutory notice issued earlier in this regard, I have no other alternative but to reject the application.

Now, therefore I, Shri J. B. Singh, Director of Industries and Mines in exercise of powers conferred upon me under sub rule (1) of rule 26 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 in pursuance of Notification No. 5/80/89-Mines dt. 22-12-2000 hereby reject the application of M/s Shantilal Khushaldas & Bros. Pvt. Ltd. for renewal of mining lease.

J. B. Singh, Director of Industries and Mines.

Panaji, 16th February, 2001.

Order

No. 96/19/96-Mines/2889

(In the matter of renewal of Mining lease of the applicant Shri Noor Mohamad Sheikh Mussa and Smt. Nafeesa Sheikh Noor by application dated 30-8-1996).

Late Shri Mussa Xec Usman of Ponda, Goa was a holder of erstwhile mining concession named "Dudagal

Culna" for iron ore granted under Title of concession No. 27 dated 3-6-57 over an area of 83.74 Ha of land situated in Colem village of Sanguem taluka. Consequent upon the enactment of the Goa, Daman and Diu Mining Concessions (Abolition and Declaration as Mining Leases) Act, 1987 the above mining concession stood abolished and declared as mining lease under Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957, and the rules made thereunder.

On 30-8-96 Shri Noor Mohamad Sheikh Mussa and Smt. Nafeesa Sheikh Noor legal heirs of late Shri Mussa Xec Usman (hereinafter referred to as the applicants) applied for renewal of mining lease for a further period. The delay in submission of renewal application was condoned by the State Government. Thereafter by a notice bearing No. 96/19/96-Mines/12376 dated 12-2-99 under Rule 26(3) of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 the applicants were called upon to furnish the following documents:

1. Valid clearance certificate in terms of Rule 22(3)(d) of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960.
2. Statement of consent towards surface rights in terms of Rule 22(3)(h) of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960.
3. Record of rights in Form I and XIV in respect of survey numbers falling in the lease area.
4. Copy of valid approved mining plan.
5. An affidavit showing particulars of area mineralwise in each State in terms of Rule 22(3)(g) of M. C. Rules, 1960.
6. Copy of concession plan superimposed on new cadastral survey plan.

A notice for personal hearing was issued to the applicant on 19-1-01 to appear before me on 12-2-01 and to show cause why the application for renewal of mining lease should not be rejected as the applicants had failed to submit the approved mining plan. In response thereof, the applicant filed a written submission vide their letter dt. 29-1-01.

I have gone through the records of the case and the submissions made by the applicants. It is seen that the application for renewal of mining lease was submitted nearly after a lapse of about 9 years from the date of expiry of the following the above enactment and between the date of filing the application and the date of personal hearing about four and half years have passed. An application for renewal of mining lease is required to be submitted in form J with all the relevant document in terms of rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. The applicants were issued a statutory notice on 12-2-99 as required under rule 26(3) of M. C. Rules, 1960 to supply the omissions within a period of sixty days. The applicants have been unable to produce the valid approved mining plan as on date which is a pre requisite under Rule 22 of MCR 1960 read with

Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957. The mere fact that the mining plan has been submitted to the competent authority for approval cannot be a ground to grant some more time. In fact such an exercise should have been undertaken much earlier. Furthermore a perusal of the letters dated 25-9-00 and 19-1-01 show that there are deficiencies in the mining plan submitted by the applicants and the competent authority has only granted extension of time for submission of EMP based on one season's baseline data. Under these circumstances the request for grant of more time for submission of approved mining plan stands hereby rejected and accordingly I proceed further to dispose of the renewal application.

As mentioned above, rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 prescribe certain documents which are pre requisite for the purpose of renewal of mining lease application. The application has been pending for the last four and half years in as much as the same was submitted after a lapse of 9 years after expiry of the mining lease and any extension of time would defeat the purpose of Rule 26(3) of MCR 1960.

In view of above, as there has been a failure on the part of the applicant to submit the approved mining plan as on date, I have no other alternative but to reject the application.

Now, therefore I, Shri J. B. Singh, Director of Industries and Mines in exercise of powers conferred upon me under sub rule (1) of rule 26 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 in pursuance of Notification No. 5/80/89-Mines dt. 22-12-2000 hereby reject the application of Shri Noor Mohamad Sheikh Mussa and Smt. Nafeesa Sheikh Noor legal heirs of late Shri Mussa Xec Usman for renewal of mining lease.

J. B. Singh, Director of Industries and Mines.

Panaji, 19th February, 2001.

Order

No. 96/272/88-Mines/2913

(In the matter of renewal of Mining lease of the applicants M/s Shantilal Khushaldas & Bros. Pvt. Ltd. by application dated 21-11-1988).

M/s Shantilal Khushaldas & Bros. Pvt. Ltd. of Margao Goa were holders of erstwhile mining concession named "Tancamolovontlo" for iron ore granted under Title of concession No. 24 dated 17-6-60 over an area of 29.3886 Ha of land situated in Quirlapale village of Sanguem taluka. Consequent upon the enactment of the Goa, Daman and Diu Mining Concessions (Abolition and Declaration as Mining Leases) Act, 1987 the above mining concession stood abolished and declared as mining lease under Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957 and the rules made thereunder.

On 22-11-88 M/s Shantilal Khushaldas & Bros. Pvt. Ltd. of Margao Goa (hereinafter referred to as the applicants) applied for renewal of mining lease for a further period. By a notice bearing No. 96/272/88-Mines/273 dated 7-2-89 under Rule 26(3) of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 the applicants were called upon to furnish the approved mining plan. As there was failure on the part of the applicants to submit the approved mining plan, the application for renewal of the above mining lease was rejected by the Government by an Order bearing No. 5/18/89-ILD dated 25-4-1990. Being aggrieved by the Order of rejection, the applicants filed a revision application before the Central Government and by a common Order dated 16-6-93, the Central Government set aside the Order of rejection with a direction to the State Government to grant one more opportunity to the applicants to submit the approved mining plan within a period of one year as prayed by the applicants before the revisional authority.

The applicants by a notice bearing No. 96/272/88-Mines/5954 dated 8-9-98 were called upon to furnish the following documents.

1. Valid clearance certificate in terms of Rule 22(3)(d) of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960.
2. Statement of consent towards surface rights in terms of Rule 22(3)(h) of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960.
3. Copy of valid approved mining plan.
4. Copy of plan of existing deemed mining lease.
5. Copy of cadastral survey plan superimposed on deemed lease.
6. Record of rights in Form I and XIV.

As none of the above documents were submitted, a notice bearing No. 96/272/88-Mines/2768 dated 7-2-2001 was issued to the applicants fixing the date for personal hearing as 16-2-01 which notice was duly received by the applicants on 9-2-01. The applicants however failed to attend the hearing. I have therefore no other alternative but to proceed further in the matter.

I have gone through the records of the case. It is seen that the application for renewal of mining lease was submitted in the year 1988 namely about 13 years back without proper documents as required under rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. The application for renewal of mining lease was rejected earlier by the Government vide Order dated 25-4-90 which was set aside by the Central Government in the revision application filed by the applicants. While setting aside the impugned order of the Government, it was expressly made clear by the revisional authority to submit the approved mining plan within a period of one year from the date of its order dated 16-6-93. Subsequent to the passing of the order by the revisional authority, around 8 years have passed and regrettably not only the mining plan but also other documents which are

required under rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 have not been submitted. A statutory notice as required under rule 26(3) of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 was issued to the applicants on 8-9-98 and despite this notice the applicants did not bother to take any effective steps to supply the omissions. Furthermore, a notice for personal hearing was issued on 7-2-2001 which was received by the applicants on 9-2-2001 and this time also there has been no action on the part of the applicants to comply with the statutory requirements for the purpose of renewal of mining lease.

In the circumstances mentioned above, it is abundantly clear that sufficient opportunities were given to the applicants to submit all the documents as required under rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. Since the applicants have failed to produce the documents inspite of statutory notice issued earlier in this regard, I have no other alternative but to reject the application.

Now, therefore I, Shri J. B. Singh, Director of Industries and Mines in exercise of powers conferred upon me under sub rule (1) of rule 26 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 in pursuance of Notification No. 5/80/89-Mines dt. 22-12-2000 hereby reject the application of M/s Shantilal Khushaldas & Bros. Pvt. Ltd. for renewal of mining lease.

J. B. Singh, Director of Industries and Mines.

Panaji, 22nd February, 2001.

Order

No. 96/263/88-Mines/2918

(In the matter of renewal of Mining lease of the applicants M/s Shantilal Khushaldas & Bros. Pvt. Ltd. by application dated 21-11-1988).

M/s Shantilal Khushaldas & Bros. Pvt. Ltd. of Margao Goa were holders of erstwhile mining concession named "Baburli Soddo" for iron and manganese ore granted under title of concession No. 4 dated 1-2-1956 over an area of 100.00 Ha of land situated in Cormonem village of Sanguem taluka. Consequent upon the enactment of the Goa, Daman and Diu Mining Concessions (Abolition and Declaration as Mining Leases) Act, 1987 the above mining concession stood abolished and declared as mining lease under Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957 and the rules made thereunder.

On 22-11-88 M/s Shantilal Khushaldas & Bros. Pvt. Ltd. of Margao Goa (hereinafter referred to as the applicants) applied for renewal of mining lease for a further period. By a notice bearing No. 96/263/88-Mines/291 dated 7-02-89 under Rule 26(3) of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 the applicants were called upon to furnish the approved mining plan. As there was

failure on the part of the applicants to submit the approved mining plan, within the stipulated period, the application for renewal of the above mining lease was rejected by the Government by an order bearing No. 5/18/89-ILD dated 25-4-1990. Being aggrieved by the order of rejection, the applicants filed a revision application before the Central Government and by a common order dated 16-6-93, the Central Government set aside the order of rejection with a direction to the State Government to grant one more opportunity to the applicants to submit the approved mining plan within a period of nine months as prayed by the applicants before the Revisional Authority.

The applicants by a notice bearing No. 96/263/88-/Mines/5949 dated 8-9-98 were called upon to furnish the following documents.

1. Valid clearance certificate in terms of Rule 22(3)(d) of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960.
2. Statement of consent towards surface rights in terms of Rule 22(3)(h) of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960.
3. Copy of valid approved mining plan.
4. Copy of plan of above lease.
5. Copy of cadastral survey plan superimposed on lease plan.
6. Record of rights in Form I and XIV.

As none of the above documents were submitted, a notice bearing No. 96/263/88-Mines/2624 dated 24-1-2001 was issued to the applicants fixing the date for personal hearing as 16-2-01 which notice was duly received by the applicants on 31-1-01. The applicants however failed to attend the hearing. I have therefore no other alternative but to proceed further in the matter.

I have gone through the records of the case. It is seen that the application for renewal of mining lease was submitted in the year 1988 namely about 13 years back without proper documents as required under rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. The application for renewal of mining lease was rejected earlier by the Government vide Order dated 25-4-90 which was set aside by the Central Government in the revision application filed by the applicants. While setting aside the impugned order of the Government, it was expressly made clear by the revisional authority to submit the approved mining plan within a period of nine months from the date of its order dated 16-6-93. Subsequent to the passing of the order by the revisional authority, around 8 years have passed and regrettably not only the mining plan but also other documents which are required under rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 have not been submitted. A statutory notice as required under rule 26(3) of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 was issued to the applicants on 8-9-98 and despite this notice the applicants did not bother to take any effective steps to supply the omissions. Besides it is also

seen from available records that the mining lease is not in operation for the last 39 years. A notice bearing No. 96/263/88-Mines/2127 dated 25/10/2000 was issued to the applicants to show cause within a period of 15 days as to why action should not be taken against them to declare the mining lease as lapsed under Section 4A (4) of the Mines & Minerals (Development & Regulation) Act, 1957 and Rule 28 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. The applicants did not bother to furnish any reply. Finally, a notice for personal hearing was issued on 24-1-2001 which was received by the applicants on 31-1-2001 and this time also there has been no action on part of the applicants to comply with the statutory requirements for the purpose of renewal of mining lease. In the circumstances mentioned above, it is abundantly clear that sufficient opportunities were given to the applicants to submit all the documents as required under rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. Since the applicants have failed to produce the documents inspite of statutory notice issued earlier in this regard, I have no other alternative but to reject the application.

Now, therefore I, Shri J. B. Singh, Director of Industries and Mines in exercise of powers conferred upon me under sub rule (1) of rule 26 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 in pursuance of Notification No. 5/80/89-Mines dt. 22-12-2000 hereby reject the application of M/s Shantilal Khushaldas & Bros. Pvt. Ltd. for renewal of mining lease.

J. B. Singh, Director of Industries and Mines.

Panaji, 23rd February, 2001.

◆◆◆ Department of Revenue

Notification

No. 22/123/2000-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose viz. L. A. for construction of Mestawada road in V. P. Bethora in Ponda Taluka in Shiroda Constituency (Addl. Area).

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies, under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act") that the said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise, or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this

Notification, will under clause (seventh) of section 24 of the said Act, be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints, under clause (c) of section 3 of the said Act, the L.A.O., P.W.D., Cell, Altinho, Panaji to perform the functions of a Collector under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorises under sub-section (2) of section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the Acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, North Goa District, Panaji.
2. Land Acquisition Officer, P.W.D. Cell Altinho, Panaji.
3. The Executive Engineer, Div. XVIII(R), PWD., Ponda.
4. The Director of Settlement & Land Records, Panaji-Goa.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the L.A.O., P.W.D., Cell, Altinho, Panaji for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notification in the Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Ponda		Village: Bethora
Survey No./ Sub. Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Appx area in Sq. mts.
1.	2.	3.
31 part H:	1. Babuso Bhomo Gaudo. 2. Sukdo Bhomo Gaudo. 3. Gajo Bhomo Gaudo. 4. Pandu Laxman Gaudo. 5. Shanu Vassu Gaudo. 6. Hari Babani Gaudo. 7. Putu Babani Gaudo. 8. Ramnath Kalo Gaudo. 9. Tilu Satu Gaudo.	375.00
32 part H:	1. Babuso Bhomo Gaudo. 2. Sukdo Bhomo Gaudo. 3. Gajo Bhomo Gaudo. 4. Pandu Laxman Gaudo. 5. Shanu Vassu Gaudo. 6. Hari Babani Gaudo. 7. Ramnath Kalo Gaudo. 8. Putu Babani Gaudo. 9. Tilu Satu Gaudo.	145.00

1.	2.	3.
33 part H: 1. Govind Shankar Prabhu Gaonkar.		325.00
53 part H: 1. Sitabai Shanu Gaonkar.		900.00
		Total: 1745.00

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Sanjiv M. Gadkar, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 8th February, 2001.

Notification

No. 22/112/2000-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose viz. Acquisition of land and building for widening of road at Taleigao market junction, Taleigao-Goa.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies, under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act") that the said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise, or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this Notification, will under clause (seventh) of section 24 of the said Act, be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints, under clause (c) of section 3 of the said Act, the Dy. Collector (L.A.), Collectorate, North Goa, Panaji to perform the functions of a Collector under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorises under sub-section (2) of section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the Acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, North Goa District, Panaji.
2. The Dy. Collector (L. A.), Collectorate, North Goa, Panaji.
3. The Executive Engineer, W. D. II, P. W. D., Panaji.
4. The Director of Settlement & Land Records, Panaji-Goa.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Dy. Collector (L. A.) Collectorate North Goa, Panaji for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notification in the Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Tiswadi		Village: Taleigao
Survey No./ Sub. Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Appx area in sq. mts.
1.	2.	3.
55/4 (part) O:	1. Pandori Narayan Prabhu Kolvalkar. 2. Maria Sebastiana (407) Miranda. 3. Raya V. Kalangutkar and Sarita A. Kalangutkar (641). 4. Surya B. Satardekar. Dattaram B. Satardekar. Sadanand B. Satardekar (642) and Vinunath B. Satardekar.	62
55/5 (part)	Antonio Martins.	288
		Total: 350

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Sanjiv M. Gadkar, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 16th February, 2001.

Department of Sports & Youth Affairs

Directorate of Sports & Youth Affairs

Order

No. DSYA/PS/Y. H. Peddem/96-97/00-01/4115

Government is pleased to constitute the Local Management Committee of the following Members for the purpose of Day-to-Day Management of the Affairs and to ensure the systematic functioning of the Youth Hostel in Goa State for a period of three years w.e.f. from 22-2-2001 to 21-2-2004.

- | | |
|---|-------------------|
| 1. Collector North Goa. | Chairman |
| 2. Director of Sports & Youth Affairs, Government of Goa. | Vice Chairman |
| 3. Director, Tourism, Government of Goa or his Representative. | Member |
| 4. National Chairman, Youth Hostel Association of India, New Delhi or his Representative. | Member |
| 5. Dy. Programme Advisor, NSS Regional Centre, Pune or his Representative. | Member |
| 6. Chief Manager, State Bank of India, Mapusa Branch. | Member |
| 7. Executive Engineer, P.W.D. Div. V | Member |
| 8. Executive Engineer, Electricals Div. VI Sub-Div-I, Mapusa. | Member |
| 9. President, Youth Hostel Association of India, Sanquelim. | Member |
| 10. Youth Co-ordinator, Nehru Yuvak Kendra, Panaji. | Member |
| 11. Warden, Youth Hostel, Mapusa. | Member Secretary. |

Dr. Susana de Sousa, Director of Sports & Youth Affairs.

Panaji, 23rd February, 2001.

Department of Water Resources

Office of the Chief Engineer

Order

No. 3/25-15/90-WR/1338

- Read: 1) Government Order No. 3/25-15/90-IRRG dated 18-1-1993.
2) Government Order No. 3/25-15/90-IRRG/679 dated 19-9-2000.

Government is pleased to continue the ad-hoc promotion of Shri N. B. Das, Superintending Engineer in Water Resources Department, ordered and continued vide Govt. orders referred to above, for further period of six months beyond 31-12-2000 i. e. upto 30-6-2001 on the same terms and conditions as stipulated in the aforesaid orders.

This has approval of Goa Public Service Commission conveyed vide their letter No. COM/II/11/27(1)/92 dated 5-2-2001.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

S. D. Sayanak, Chief Engineer (W. R.) & Ex-Officio Addl. Secretary.

Panaji, 22nd February, 2001.